Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Patrick Allan Eubanks has
  - The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- The Board of Registered Nursing is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered Nurse License based upon the following violation alleged in the Accusation which is supported

Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 2762, subdivision (a) of the Code in that he possessed the controlled substance cocaine on June 1, 2011.

## **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 770256, heretofore issued to Respondent Patrick Allan Eubanks, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on MARCH 29, 2013

It is so ORDERED FERWARY 27, 2013

FOR THE BURKE OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

DOJ Matter ID: SD2012703897

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst	
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141	
. 7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9.	BEFORE THE	
10	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
		Case No. 2013-294
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5015
13	PATRICK ALLAN EUBANKS 133 10th Street, Unit 2	ACCUSATION
14	Seal Beach, CA 90740	
15	Registered Nurse License No. 770256	
16	Respondent.	
. 17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
.		
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of	
22	Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about March 11, 2010, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
24	Nurse License Number 770256 to Patrick Allan Eubanks (Respondent). The Registered Nurse	
25	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on April 30, 2013, unless renewed.	
27	/// ///	
. 28	111	

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811, subdivision (b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct,

notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

#### 9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

## 10. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.

#### 11. Section 2762 of the Code states:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- (a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.
- (b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner

dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

(c) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving the prescription, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, or the possession of, or falsification of a record pertaining to, the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section, in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence thereof.

#### 12. Section 2765 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a registered nurse is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board may order the license or certificate suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license or certificate, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

## **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444, states:

A conviction or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered nurse if to a substantial degree it evidences the present or potential unfitness of a registered nurse to practice in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such convictions or acts shall include but not be limited to the following:

- (a) Assaultive or abusive conduct including, but not limited to, those violations listed in subdivision (d) of Penal Code Section 11160.
  - (b) Failure to comply with any mandatory reporting requirements.
  - (c) Theft, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit.
- (d) Any conviction or act subject to an order of registration pursuant to Section 290 of the Penal Code.
- 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1445 states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a license on the grounds that a registered nurse has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his/her eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

Accusation

Respondent was stopped a short distance away. After being questioned by officers, Respondent eventually admitted that he had purchased cocaine from the suspect and had placed it in the center console of his vehicle. The officers retrieved three rock-like substances that subsequently tested positive for cocaine. Respondent was arrested. During post-*Miranda* questioning, Respondent told the officer that he purchased the cocaine from the suspect for \$30. When asked if he had been arrested for possession of cocaine in the past, Respondent stated "Yeah, I got diversion."

- b. As a result of the arrest, on or about June 20, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Patrick Eubanks*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, case number NA089106, Respondent pled guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance, a felony.
- c. As a result of the plea, on or about June 20, 2011, the court deferred entry of judgment for 18 months and Respondent was placed on diversion pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.1. Respondent was ordered not to use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs or associated paraphernalia, to stay away from places where users, buyers, or seller congregate, and not associate with known drug users. Respondent was required to complete an approved controlled substance treatment program. At a hearing on January 27, 2012, Respondent was allowed to withdraw his plea of guilty, and the case was dismissed pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.3.

# **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

- 18. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1445, subdivision (b), Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about the evening of March 16, 2004, patrol officers with the Los Angeles Police Department conducted an enforcement stop on Respondent after he was observed driving away from an establishment known for narcotic sales. The officers obtained consent to search the vehicle. Inside the vehicle's center console, the officers found a white plastic bindle containing .29 grams of an off-white, rock-like substance resembling rock cocaine. In a post-*Miranda* interview, Respondent admitted that he had used cocaine in the past, but denied that the

cocaine found in his vehicle belonged to him. In Respondent's written statement provided to the officers, he stated "I do not use drugs, and I am very aware of the dangers they bring and would never put myself in this position knowingly. I HAVE NEVER BEEN ARRESTED FOR DRUGS nor any serious matter. I am a law abiding citizen." (Emphasis in original.) Respondent was arrested for possession of a controlled substance.

b. As a result of the arrest, on or about April 26, 2004, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Patrick Allan Eubanks*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, case number LA045516, Respondent pled guilty to violating Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance. As a result of the plea, the court placed Respondent in a deferred entry of judgment program for 36 months pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.2. Respondent was ordered not to use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs or associated paraphernalia, to stay away from places where users, buyers, or seller congregate, and not associate with known drug users. Respondent was required to complete an approved controlled substance treatment program. On or about October 27, 2005, the court set aside Respondent's plea, and the matter was dismissed.

# **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

- 19. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1445, subdivision (b), Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about December 8, 1997, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Patrick Allan Eubanks*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, case number 7VN03975, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence, a misdemeanor. The court dismissed an additional count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a), under the influence of a controlled substance, pursuant to the plea agreement. As a result of the conviction, Respondent was granted 36 months summary probation, and ordered to serve 10 days in the Los Angeles County Jail, with credit for one day. Respondent was further ordered to complete 20 days with the CalTrans work program, complete a three-month First Offender Alcohol and Other

Drug Education and Counseling Program, and pay fees, fines, and restitution in the amount of \$1,237.

The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on or about midnight of b. September 25, 1997, patrol officers with the Los Angeles Police Department observed a vehicle, driven by Respondent, traveling at a high rate of speed and straddling lanes of traffic. After conducting an enforcement stop, the officers made contact with Respondent. The officers observed that Respondent displayed the objective symptoms of drug intoxication: an overall excited appearance, elevated pulse, slurred speech, lack of convergence of the eyes, impaired balance, and dilated pupils. Respondent had a slight odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of drugs and taken to the Van Nuys Station for a drug recognition examination (DRE). Respondent provided two breath samples which were negative for alcohol. Respondent's pupils were dilated under all lighting conditions, his pulse and blood pressure remained elevated, and he had an extreme case of dry mouth. Respondent admitted to officers that he had used "pot" the prior day, and cocaine the day before and approximately one hour before being pulled over. Respondent stated that he had started having problems with drug abuse after being employed by his current employer (a bar). The DRE determined that Respondent was under the combined influence of a central nervous system stimulant and cannabis. Respondent was also found to be operating a vehicle on a suspended driver's license.

| /, /

21 | //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 | //

23

24

25

26

27

28

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 770256, issued to Patrick Allan Eubanks;
- 2. Ordering Patrick Allan Eubanks to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: October 15, 2012

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

**Executive Officer** 

Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

SD2012703897